

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 MICHAEL D. BRYANT,

12 Defendant.
13

NO. CR-03-245-RHW

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
MOTION TO RECEIVE JAIL TIME
CUSTODY CREDIT**

14 Before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Receive Jail Time Custody Credit (Ct.
15 Rec. 206) and Defendant's Objections to the Magistrate Report and Recommendation
16 (Ct. Rec. 208).

17 On November 18, 2008, the Court entered an Order Denying Defendant's 2255
18 Motion and Denying Motion for New Trial (Ct. Rec. 202). On December 8, 2008,
19 Defendant filed a document entitled "Petitioners Objections to Proposed Findings and
20 Recommendations of United States Magistrate Judge's Report." The Court construed
21 this as a motion and denied the motion on December 16, 2008 (Ct. Rec. 205). On
22 January 27, 2009, Defendant once again filed Objections to the Magistrate Report and
23 Recommendations (Ct. Rec. 208). As stated in its previous order, the Magistrate did not
24 file a Report and Recommendation in this case.

25 In his Motion to Receive Jail Time Custody Credit, Defendant asks the Court to
26 amend the judgment to grant jail time custody credits toward his federal sentence
27 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3585(b). Defendant states that he is not bringing his motion
28 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, but does not set forth the basis for this Court to hear the

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RECEIVE JAIL TIME
CUSTODY CREDIT ~ 1**

1 motion, except to ask the Court to grant jail time custody credits towards his federal
2 sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3585(b). Defendant asserts that it will be futile to
3 address these issues through an administrative proceeding.

4 Contrary to Defendant's assertions, the Court does not have authority to grant
5 credits under section 3585(b). *See United States v. Wilson*, 503 U.S. 329, 332-36
6 (1992); *United States v. Checchini*, 967 F.2d 348, 348 (9th Cir. 1992).¹ Moreover,
7 Defendant indicates that he was taken into state custody on August 12, 2003, for
8 violating his state parole, was not brought into federal custody until March 30, 2004,
9 and was sentenced on November 14, 2005, to a period of incarceration of 260 months.
10 Defendant's incarceration following his parole revocation was punishment for his
11 earlier state crimes, not for possession a firearm in violation of federal law. *See United*
12 *States v. Brown*, 59 F.3d 102, 104 (9th Cir. 1995).

13 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

14 1. Defendant's Motion to Receive Jail Time Custody Credit (Ct. Rec. 206) is
15 **DENIED.**

16 2. Defendant's Objections to Proposed Findings and Recommendations of
17 United States Magistrate Judge's Report (Ct. Rec. 208) is **DENIED.**

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 _____
22 ¹In this case, it does not appear that Defendant has filed any administrative
23 remedies related to his claim for credit for time served. The computation of a prisoner's
24 length of confinement must first be brought in an administrative proceeding. *See Chua*
25 *Han Mow v. United States*, 730 F.2d 1308, 1313-14 (9th Cir. 1984). After Defendant has
26 pursued and exhausted his administrative remedies, he can challenge the decision through
27 a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. A petition for relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, by the
28 terms of the statute, must be filed in the District where the prisoner is confined.

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RECEIVE JAIL TIME
CUSTODY CREDIT ~ 2**

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The District Court Executive is hereby directed to enter
2 this order and to furnish a copy to Defendant.

3 **DATED** this 9th day of April, 2009.

4 *S/ Robert H. Whaley*

5 **ROBERT H. WHALEY**
6 Chief United States District Judge

7
8
9 Q:\CRIMINAL\2003\Bryant\order.wpd
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28